

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/555,704	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stacy B Chen	1648	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: 71**

 (1) Stacy B Chen (PTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jacqueline Mahoney (Appl. rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 1 September 2004**
**Time: 14:13 EST**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1-2

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The examiner and Ms. Mahoney discussed an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 2.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)